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## Different Scales and Achievement Levels

| PARCC Achievement Levels |  | Scaled Score <br> Range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level 5 | Exceeded expectations | Varies by grade <br> -850 |
| Level 4 | Met expectations | $750-$ varies by <br> grade |
| Level 3 | Approached expectations | $725-749$ |
| Level 2 | Partially met expectations | $700-724$ |
| Level 1 | Did not yet meet <br> expectations | $650-699$ |


| MCAS Achievement <br> Levels | Scaled Score <br> Range |
| :--- | :---: |
| Advanced | $260-280$ |
| Proficient | $240-258$ |
| Needs improvement | $220-238$ |
| Warning (3-8) <br> Failing (HS) | $200-218$ |

## 2015 PARCC and MCAS Results - Statewide

Percent of Students Scoring Proficient or Higher on MCAS or Meeting Expectations on PARCC

|  | English Language Arts |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PARCC* | MCAS* | Difference | PARCC* | MCAS* | Difference |
| Grade 3 | $54 \%$ | $60 \%$ | -6 | $55 \%$ | $70 \%$ | -15 |
| Grade 4 | $57 \%$ | $53 \%$ | +4 | $47 \%$ | $47 \%$ | 0 |
| Grade 5 | $63 \%$ | $71 \%$ | -8 | $55 \%$ | $67 \%$ | -12 |
| Grade 6 | $60 \%$ | $71 \%$ | -11 | $53 \%$ | $62 \%$ | -9 |
| Grade 7 | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | -10 | $45 \%$ | $51 \%$ | -6 |
| Grade 8 | $64 \%$ | $80 \%$ | -16 | $53 \%$ | $60 \%$ | -7 |
| Grade 8 <br> Algebra 1 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $80 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| All Grades | $60 \%$ | $68 \%$ | -8 | $52 \%$ | $60 \%$ | -8 |

## Setting a New Baseline with PARCC

Establishment of a new baseline

More difficult assessment than MCAS

Questions asked in different ways

Goes beyond multiple choice questions

Requires students to analyze, problem solve, apply knowledge, and think critically

## Setting a New Baseline with PARCC

Demands students explain their answers and defend their reasoning

PARCC districts held harmless in 2016 for any negative changes in school or district accountability level

All districts held harmless in 2017 when MCAS 2.0 is administered

## PARCC Baseline Data

Grades 3-8
English Language Arts
Mathematics

## Grade Three ELA PARCC Data


$\square$ District State

## Grade Four ELA PARCC Data


$\square$ District State

## Grade Five ELA PARCC Data



## Grade Six ELA PARCC Data



## Grade Seven ELA PARCC Data



## Grade Eight ELA PARCC Data



## Grade Three Math PARCC Data



## Grade Four Math PARCC Data



## Grade Five Math PARCC Data



## Grade Six Math PARCC Data



## Grade Seven Math PARCC Data



## Grade Eight Math PARCC Data



## PARCC DARTs <br> Grades 3-8 <br> Mathematics Meeting



## PARCC DARTs <br> Grades 3-8 <br> English Language Arts Meeting



## Hull Public Schools

## MCASIPARCC Student Growth 2010 through 2015

## Median SGP - English Language Arts Grade 4



## Median SGP - English Language Arts Grade 5



## Median SGP - English Language Arts Grade 6



## Median SGP - English Language Arts Grade 7



## Median SGP - English Language Arts Grade 8



## Median SGP - Mathematics Grade 4



## Median SGP - Mathematics Grade 5



## Median SGP - Mathematics Grade 6



## Median SGP - Mathematics Grade 7



## Median SGP - Mathematics Grade 8



## Use of Student Assessment Results



## Grades 3 - 8 Next Steps

Computer-based assessment vs. paper-based assessment

Strengthen integration of technology into the curriculum

Use student score reports to prompt conversations between parents, teachers and students so they work together to ensure student success

## Grades 3 - 8 Next Steps

Continue to support teachers with upgrading curriculum and instruction to align with English language arts and mathematics frameworks

Analyze student data to determine what parts of curriculum are effective and where instruction needs to be strengthened

Continue to discuss best practices to narrow the proficiency gaps

Students are just as smart, but the bar has been raised!

In time, student scores will improve!

